

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/088,015	VAN DER LAAN ET AL.
	Examiner Marcus Charles	Art Unit 3682

**All Participants:**

(1) Marcus Charles.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Roland E. Long Jr..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 June 2004

**Time:** 10:30 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to label figures 1 and 2 as "Prior Art". In addition, concern for antecedent problem for the claim language "the mathematical representation" in claim 10, was discussed. However, upon further examination, the examiner found out that claim 5, provide basis for the claim limitation.